

REMARKS

Claim 1 calls for providing a wireless device for a processor-based device. The claim further calls for generating power in the wireless device from the operation of a control operator of the wireless device. Thus, power must be generated in the device from the operation of a control operator.

In contrast, the cited application to Rosenberg simply has a battery powered source. It is not seen how a battery powered source could be read to generate power "from the operation of a control operator of the device." In a battery source, the power is always there, it is just either connected or disconnected. Thus, there is no generation of power from the operation of a control actuator.

Therefore, reconsideration of the rejection of claim 1 is respectfully requested.

Claim 8 calls for a control operator and a mechanism to generate power in response to the operation of said control operator. Again, a battery powered source, as taught in the cited reference, does not generate power "in response to operation of said control operator." The power in the battery is always there and it is either connected or disconnected to an operation.


Therefore, claim 8 distinguishes over the art of record. For the same reason, independent claim 16 also distinguishes.

In view of these remarks, the application is now in condition for allowance and the Examiner's prompt action in accordance therewith is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: _____

8/26/03



Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100
Houston, TX 77024
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]